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Who am I?

• My goal is to understand how biology and environments interact to explain **individual differences** in the expression of ADHD

• My research integrates measures using:
  • Molecular genetics (ADHD is ~80% heritable)
  • Cognition (executive function, IQ testing)
  • Neurobiology (fMRI)
  • Environment (parenting, peers, and teachers)
Check us out @

UW Social and Behavioral Development Lab

- My lab: https://lilab.waisman.wisc.edu/

- These slides will be available on my lab website

- https://www.facebook.com/SocialBehavioralDevelopmentLab/
What motivated today’s talk

**Individual differences**: Some children with ADHD respond to psychosocial interventions better than others

- SES, more severe child problems, stress and parental psychopathology (Reyno & MacGrath, 2006)

Engagement and participation in treatment → better outcomes (Nock & Kazkin, 2006)

Overarching question: Can smartphones help us better connect with patients with low SES, more severe child problems, and stress/psychopathology?

Burke, Pardini, & Loeber, 2008; Chamberlain & Patterson, 1995; Kaiser, McBurnett, & Pfiffner, 2011
What this talk will be about

Previous/Current Research

1. A spotlight on stress and parenting
2. How stress and child ADHD affect parenting behaviors
3. The study: how smartphones can help us better monitor/understand the role of parenting behavior and child ADHD

Clinical Implications
Parenting and ADHD

• Nearly all psychosocial interventions for child ADHD feature some aspect of parent training

  • Harsher discipline
  • Less warmth and engagement
  • Praise less
  • Use more “negative talk” (e.g., commands, criticisms)

Burke, Pardini, & Loeber, 2008; Chamberlain & Patterson, 1995; Kaiser, McBurnett, & Pfiffner, 2011
Stress seriously affects how you parent

- **Family stress** (martial discord, lack of cohesion within the family)
  - Nelson, O’Brien, Blankson, Calkins, & Keane, 2009 → less supportive, warm and engaged in parenting
Stress seriously affects how you parent

- **Social support** (friends, co-workers, neighbors, non-family members)
  - Ceballo & McLoyd, 2002 → harsh discipline, yelling, and threatening behaviors in parenting

- **Parenting-related stress** (demands of parenting outweigh the resources to deal with them) (“child effects”)
  - Theule et al. (2013)
Stress may affect how consistent you are in your parenting

- Day-to-day parent-child interactions form “proximal engines of development” (Granic, O’Hara, Pepler, & Lewis, 2007)

- Inconsistent discipline $\rightarrow$ behavior problems and ADHD (Barry, Dunlap, Lochman, & Wells, 2005; Edens, Skopp, & Cahill, 2008; Halgunseth et al., 2013)

- Parental consistency on measures of warmth and responsiveness $\rightarrow$ faster growth in cognitive development in TD children (Landry et al., 2002)

- Parental inconsistency on measures of warmth and responsiveness $\rightarrow$ deceleration of cognitive development in TD children!
Consistency matters: Consistency in the timing and quality of daily interactions between parents and adolescents predicts production of proinflammatory cytokines in youths
Limitations in the field

• It’s really hard to measure
  • Self-report questionnaires (global, but ambiguous timeframe)
  • Observations (snapshots)
  • Daily diaries (snapshots over repeated measurement, but not practical)

• Most studies have focused on inconsistent discipline, but rarely on inconsistent warmth and engagement
  • Effective parenting = discipline + warmth/engagement
How smartphones might help
It’s almost ready for primetime (we think)

• Widely-owned by most Americans
  • 77% of all Americans owned a smartphone as of 2015
  • 64% of the lowest-income Americans (household income < $30,000 per year)
  • 92% among 18 to 29-year-olds
  • 88% among 30 to 49-year-olds
  • 74% among 50 to 64-year-olds

• Convenient patient access without any additional costs or equipment

• High-resolution data: data collected everyday

• Standardized apps (i.e., iOS and android)

The Pew Research Center (2017)
Barriers

• Usually, they look like this:
  
  • Manual or automated text messages
  
  • Clunky interfaces
  
  • Not intuitive (for the patient or the clinicians)
Our research questions

(1) Is our smartphone app a feasible and valid way measure of parenting compared to traditional measures of parental consistency?
   (1) Will parents do it?
   (2) Can we reliably measure frequency and consistency of parenting behavior?

(2) What role do stress, including family, social, parenting-related stress and child ADHD, have on parental inconsistency, including both positive and negative dimensions?
Significance

• This research may help us better assess parent-child dynamics, a central treatment target in parenting-based interventions

• Lead to the development of more tailored interventions
The study
The study

• Longitudinal study on biological and environmental antecedents of trajectories of ADHD and co-occurring externalizing psychopathology in children

• 184 kindergarteners with and without ADHD
  • 20.7% met clinical criteria for any subtype of ADHD (4.9% inattentive subtype, 9.8% hyperactive/impulsive subtype, and 6.0% combined subtype)
  • Ineligible if: ASD/intellectual disability, not fluent in English, not living with at least one bio parent at least half the time
  • Child characteristics: 86% White, 55% male
  • Parent characteristics: 93% mothers (who took the survey), 88% married or living with a spouse, 84% college-educated, median income = $92K
ADHD symptoms

• *Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children, Version IV (Shaffer et al., 2000)*
  • Conducted with parent
  • Keyed to DSM criteria for ADHD (including impairment and context)
Alabama Parenting Questionnaire (Frick, 1991) – 42 items

Positive dimension
- Parental involvement
  - “You help your child with his/her homework”
- Positive reinforcement
  - “you praise your child if they behavior well”

Negative dimension
- Corporal punishment
  - “you spank your child with your hand when they have done something wrong”
- Poor monitoring
  - “your child is at home without adult supervision”
- Inconsistent discipline
  - “the punishment you give your child depends on your mood”
Dyadic Parent Child Interaction Coding System (Eyberg et al., 2013)
DPICS: Negative Talk

• Expressed disapproval of the child or the child’s attributes, activities, products, or choices, and includes sarcastic, rude, critical, threatening or imprudent speech
  • “you’re being naughty,”
  • “that’s not quite right, sweetie,” or
  • “what’s with you today?”

• # of negative talk/total verbalizations
DPICS: Praise

• Expressed a favorable judgment of an attribute, product, or behavior of the child
  • labeled (i.e., a positive evaluation of a specific behavior, activity or product of the child, e.g., “you did a great job building that tower.”)
  • unlabeled praise (i.e., unspecified evaluation of behavior, activity or product of the child, e.g., “great job”)

• # of praise/total verbalizations
The smartphone app

• Metricwire (emerging app platform for clinical trails)
  • No text messages or links
  • Intuitive interface
  • Push notifications for daily data collections
  • Easy set up, compatible on apple and android devices
  • Can be done on a computer too
Mobile Survey of Parent-Child Dynamics

- 15 questions about parental response to child behavior
  - Frequency and consistency of parenting behavior in response to child
  - User-adapted questions

- Child misbehavior?
  - Yes
    - How many instances?
    - What did she/he do?
    - What did you do?
  - No
    - Skip to next stem
Child prosocial behavior?

Yes

How many instances?

What did she/he do?

What did you do?

No

Skip to next stem
What do the data look like?

### Active Participants

Tip: You can hold CTRL (on windows) or Command (on Mac) and click rows to easily select multiple rows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Daily Parent-Child Dyna...</th>
<th>Download</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>James Li</td>
<td>559447d9445ce82105ef2825</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:james.li@wisc.edu">james.li@wisc.edu</a></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>true</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karly Rosinsky</td>
<td>5697e7b7539f3d04710c6205</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:krosinsky@wisc.edu">krosinsky@wisc.edu</a></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>true</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karly Rosinsky</td>
<td>58fe327db2afba088108c3aa</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:karlyrosinsky@gmail.com">karlyrosinsky@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>true</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What do the data look like?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey Started Date</th>
<th>Survey Started Time</th>
<th>Survey Submitted Date</th>
<th>Survey Submitted Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09/07/2017</td>
<td>20:48:24</td>
<td>09/07/2017</td>
<td>20:54:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/04/2017</td>
<td>20:37:15</td>
<td>02/04/2017</td>
<td>20:41:07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/04/2017</td>
<td>14:20:09</td>
<td>10/04/2017</td>
<td>14:47:28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Trigger Date</td>
<td>Trigger Time</td>
<td>Trigger Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>9/7/17</td>
<td>8:00:00</td>
<td>DELETED TRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2/4/17</td>
<td>8:06:44</td>
<td>DELETED TRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>9/4/17</td>
<td>8:00:00</td>
<td>DELETED TRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>15/04/17</td>
<td>8:00:00</td>
<td>DELETED TRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>8/7/17</td>
<td>8:00:00</td>
<td>DELETED TRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>9/4/17</td>
<td>8:00:00</td>
<td>DELETED TRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>15/04/17</td>
<td>8:00:00</td>
<td>DELETED TRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>8/7/17</td>
<td>8:00:00</td>
<td>DELETED TRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>2/4/17</td>
<td>8:06:44</td>
<td>DELETED TRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>9/4/17</td>
<td>8:00:00</td>
<td>DELETED TRI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MSPCD (Smartphone app)

- Two subscales:
  - Frequency: Parenting means (over 7 days)
  - Consistency: Parenting variability (usually measured as SDs, but we used MSSD)

\[
MSSD = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_{i+1} - x_i)^2}{n - 1}
\]

- Warm and harsh parenting dimensions assessed
How frequency can be differentiated from consistency

Instances of positive parenting

Consistency versus frequency

Consistent

Inconsistent

Instances of positive parenting

day 1 day 2 day 3 day 4 day 5 day 6 day 7
Parental stress

- **Duke Social Support and Stress Scale (Parkerson, Broadhead, & Tse, 1991) – 12 items**
  - How often do the following individuals cause problems for [the parent] and makes [the parent’s] life more difficult...at this time your life?
    - Family: significant others, children or grandchildren, parents or grandparents, brothers or sisters, other blood relatives, and relatives by marriage (in-laws, ex-spouses)
    - Social: neighbors, coworkers, church members, or other friends

- **Parenting Stress Index (Abidin, 1995) – 36 items**
  - Parent-child dysfunction (“child rarely does things for me”)
  - Child difficulty (“child is more of a problem than expected”)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No ADHD (n = 146)</th>
<th>ADHD (n = 38)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N or M (SD)</td>
<td>N or M (SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent ADHD (T-scores)</td>
<td>51.99 (4.09)</td>
<td>56.16 (9.25)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISC-IV child ADHD symptoms</td>
<td>2.29 (2.56)</td>
<td>11.05 (3.01)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global positive parenting</td>
<td>66.88 (5.15)</td>
<td>66.21 (5.70)</td>
<td>.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global negative parenting</td>
<td>28.65 (4.04)</td>
<td>31.33 (5.31)</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed % praise</td>
<td>.06 (.03)</td>
<td>.05 (.03)</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed % negative talk</td>
<td>.02 (.03)</td>
<td>.05 (.05)</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily warm parenting mean</td>
<td>8.25 (1.67)</td>
<td>8.15 (2.10)</td>
<td>.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily warm parenting MSSD</td>
<td>2.09 (.61)</td>
<td>2.41 (.73)</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily harsh parenting mean</td>
<td>.46 (.47)</td>
<td>.54 (.57)</td>
<td>.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily harsh parenting MSSD</td>
<td>.43 (.42)</td>
<td>.47 (.47)</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family stress</td>
<td>24.48 (14.56)</td>
<td>30.40 (15.17)</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social stress</td>
<td>8.28 (10.76)</td>
<td>14.36 (16.35)</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenting-related stress</td>
<td>24.05</td>
<td>34.28</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

(1) Is our smartphone app a feasible and valid way measure of parenting compared to traditional measures of parental consistency?
### Feasibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Android</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% iOS</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac/Windows</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average # days completed</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average minutes spent</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>0:05:45</td>
<td>0:04:48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>0:04:21</td>
<td>0:03:09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>0:04:04</td>
<td>0:03:39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>0:03:46</td>
<td>0:02:57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>0:03:30</td>
<td>0:02:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 6</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>0:03:12</td>
<td>0:02:16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Child ADHD symptoms</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Global positive parenting</td>
<td>-0.078</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Global negative parenting</td>
<td>0.221**</td>
<td>-0.196**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Observed % praise</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>-0.055</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Observed % negative talk</td>
<td>0.341**</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
<td>0.309**</td>
<td>-0.047</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Daily warm parenting mean</td>
<td>-0.053</td>
<td>0.377**</td>
<td>-0.210**</td>
<td>0.229**</td>
<td>-0.065</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Daily warm parenting MSSD</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
<td>0.213**</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Daily harsh parenting mean</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>-0.168*</td>
<td>0.347**</td>
<td>-0.082</td>
<td>0.285**</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Daily harsh parenting MSSD</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>0.352**</td>
<td>-0.137</td>
<td>0.286**</td>
<td>-0.071</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.475**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlations with APQ positive parenting dimension
### Correlations with APQ negative parenting dimension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Child ADHD symptoms</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Global positive parenting</td>
<td>-.078</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Global negative parenting</td>
<td>.221**</td>
<td>-.196**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Observed % praise</td>
<td>-.007</td>
<td>.100</td>
<td>-.055</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Observed % negative talk</td>
<td>.341**</td>
<td>-.024</td>
<td>.309**</td>
<td>-.047</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Daily warm parenting mean</td>
<td>-.053</td>
<td>.377**</td>
<td>-.210**</td>
<td>.229**</td>
<td>-.065</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Daily warm parenting MSSD</td>
<td>.151</td>
<td>-.012</td>
<td>.213**</td>
<td>-.016</td>
<td>.121</td>
<td>-.008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Daily harsh parenting mean</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>-.168*</td>
<td>.347**</td>
<td>-.082</td>
<td>.285**</td>
<td>-.036</td>
<td>.022</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Daily harsh parenting MSSD</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>-.018</td>
<td>.352**</td>
<td>-.137</td>
<td>.286**</td>
<td>-.071</td>
<td>.109</td>
<td>.475**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlations with observed praise during the parent-child interaction coding task

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child ADHD symptoms</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global positive parenting</td>
<td>-.078</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global negative parenting</td>
<td>.221*</td>
<td>-.196*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed % praise</td>
<td>-.007</td>
<td>.100</td>
<td>-.055</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed % negative talk</td>
<td>.341**</td>
<td>-.024</td>
<td>.309**</td>
<td>-.047</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily warm parenting mean</td>
<td>-.053</td>
<td>.377**</td>
<td>-.210**</td>
<td>.229**</td>
<td>-.065</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily warm parenting MSSD</td>
<td>.151</td>
<td>-.012</td>
<td>.213**</td>
<td>-.016</td>
<td>.121</td>
<td>-.008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily harsh parenting mean</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>-.168*</td>
<td>.347**</td>
<td>-.082</td>
<td>.285**</td>
<td>-.036</td>
<td>.022</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily harsh parenting MSSD</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>-.018</td>
<td>.352**</td>
<td>-.137</td>
<td>.286**</td>
<td>-.071</td>
<td>.109</td>
<td>.475**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Correlations with observed negative talk during the parent-child interaction coding task

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child ADHD symptoms</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global positive parenting</td>
<td>-.078</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global negative parenting</td>
<td>.221**</td>
<td>-.196**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed % praise</td>
<td>-.007</td>
<td>.100</td>
<td>-.055</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed % negative talk</td>
<td>.341**</td>
<td>-.024</td>
<td>.309**</td>
<td>-.047</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily warm parenting mean</td>
<td>-.053</td>
<td>.377**</td>
<td>-.210**</td>
<td>.229**</td>
<td>-.065</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily warm parenting MSSD</td>
<td>.151</td>
<td>-.012</td>
<td>.213**</td>
<td>-.016</td>
<td>.121</td>
<td>-.008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily harsh parenting mean</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>-.168*</td>
<td>.347**</td>
<td>-.082</td>
<td>.285**</td>
<td>-.036</td>
<td>.022</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily harsh parenting MSSD</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>-.018</td>
<td>.352**</td>
<td>-.137</td>
<td>.286**</td>
<td>-.071</td>
<td>.109</td>
<td>.475**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

(2) What role do stress, including family, social, parenting-related stress and child ADHD, have on parental inconsistency, including both positive and negative dimensions?
Parenting-related stress is associated with greater inconsistency in harsh parenting.
Child ADHD is associated with greater inconsistency in warm parenting behaviors.
Child ADHD and warm parenting

[Bar chart showing mean number of warm parenting behaviors by Child ADHD status (Control vs. ADHD) across different days.]
What we didn’t find

• Warm parenting:
  • No association of child’s gender, household income, race-ethnicity, marital status, respondent, and frequency (i.e., mean) of warm parenting behaviors
  • Family, social and parent-related stressors not associated with consistency
  • No interactions between child ADHD and stress

• Harsh parenting:
  • No association of child’s gender, household income, race-ethnicity, marital status, respondent, and frequency (i.e., mean) of harsh parenting behaviors
  • Family, social or child ADHD not associated with consistency
  • No interactions between child ADHD and stress
Summary and conclusions

• Smartphones may be really helpful as part of the assessment process
  • A measure of frequency and variability of behavior
    • Only modestly correlated with traditional measures of parenting
    • But variables are distinct enough to warrant further study
Conclusions

One interpretation: Addressing parenting-related stress (when parents feel the burden of parenting is > resources to deal with them) might improve consistency in discipline → better outcomes.

Reduce stress from parenting (e.g., coping skills, mindfulness, family involvement)

Inconsistent discipline

Parenting-stress

Child ADHD
Conclusion

• Child ADHD is associated with greater warm parental inconsistency (or the other way around…)

• Nurturing behavior is partly inherited:
  • Licking-and-grooming behavior in rats (Meany, 2001; Meany & Szyf, 2005; Michalska et al., 2014)
  • Sensitivity in humans (Bakermans-Kranenburg & van Ijzendoorn, 2008; Oliver & Plomin, 2014)

• But gene-environment correlation may also be at play
  • Parents’ ADHD → warm parenting variability → Child ADHD
  • Parents with ADHD themselves may be more inconsistent in their positive activities with children, engagement (needs to be tested)
Additional issues/questions for future research

• Not longitudinal, only 1 week

• Can’t address the SES factor (~income 92K)

• Mothers versus fathers?

• Not all parents completed the survey for 7 days

• Not a true case control sample (how would this work/look in a clinic population?)
Clinical implications

Recommendations and forward progress
Where smartphones may help

• Jones and colleagues (2010) – using smartphones can promote engagement and retention
  • Support for parents outset of therapy setting
  • Parental monitoring is a key part of PMT, an app can make this way easier without any added burden
  • Formal feedback using daily assessments, weekly-check ins, etc.
  • Enhance recall for therapy sessions
Enhancing treatment precision

• Knowing what to focus before patient even comes in (saves time, improves treatment planning)

• Use data, rather than ambiguous weekly update that usually focus on the most recent event or most memorable event

• For example:
  • Child was argumentative on 5 out of 7 days
  • Parent endorsed “yelling, screaming” in response to 4 of the 5 times, but “time out” in only 1 of the 5
  • Identifying barriers to using appropriate response
    • Stress
  • Introduce new skills for parents to overcome barrier
Is there an app yet?

• No
• But they’re coming (see Perle & Hommel, 2017)
Potential perils

Recommendation: Do NOT go out and create your own smartphone app for your practice.

• Risks
  • HIPAA compliance. How will you ensure security and confidentiality of the data?
    • (we obtained IRB approval, have several layers of encryption, and run a research laboratory with several checks from IRB)

  • Big data “problem.” How will you use ALL the data so that it’s not a complete waste of everyone’s time?

  • Cost. It’s potentially costly (don’t waste your money on unsanctioned app platforms that haven’t been tested before).

  • Efficacy. No studies show that integrating smartphones into EBTs can actually improve treatment outcomes yet (need an RCT)
Some recommendations

• Measure parenting behavior in your clinics
  • Alabama Parenting Questionnaire (APQ) (Free)

• Measure parental stress in your clinics
  • Parent-stress index (PSI) (not free)
  • DUSOCS (free)

• Clinicians should emphasize to parents about the importance of being consistent in both discipline and warmth
Where are we going from here?

Version 2.0

- Improved data monitoring for direct applications in treatment (i.e., streamline data output)
- Incorporate audio record feature
- Measure variability in child’s symptomatology! (i.e., ADHD symptoms, prosocial behaviors)
If you’re interesting in trying out app in your clinic...

Let’s get in touch.

We want to do an RCT with it.

james.li@wisc.edu

Thank you. Questions?

UW Social and Behavioral Development Lab

• My lab: https://lilab.waisman.wisc.edu/

• https://www.facebook.com/SocialBehavioralDevelopmentLab/
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